### Return on Student Loans in Canada

#### Lance Lochner<sup>1</sup> Qian Liu<sup>2</sup> Martin Gervais<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Western Ontario

<sup>2</sup>Brock University

<sup>3</sup>University of Georgia

McMaster RDC Showcase May 2022

# Introduction

- In 2018–19, approximately 625,000 students borrowed \$3.6 billion in Canada Student Loans (excl. Quebec)
  - avg. Canada Student Loan Program (CSLP) loan amount for the year: \$5,760
  - avg. total loan balance: \$13,400 (\$17,200 at universities)
- 3-year cohort default rates have been about 10% for last several cohorts
  - default: more than 9 months delinquent on payments
- In 2018–19, 26% of borrowers in repayment received support under CSLP's Repayment Assistance Plan (RAP)
  - RAP reduces payments (often to zero) for low earners
  - any remaining debt after 15 years is forgiven

- Are student loan amounts becoming unsustainable for many students?
- Should the government student loan programs be re-designed?
- Best path forward depends on understanding what is really going on...

# Introduction

- This paper provides a purely positive analysis of student loan repayments & returns on student loans
- Measure the returns on student loans (to the CSLP)
  - characterize the distribution of returns & their predictability
  - describe heterogeneity across borrowers based on characteristics observed at time of loan issue
- A key challenge has been the lack of data on repayment over the full lifecycle of loans
  - most studies examine default rates or repayments only a few years after school (see survey by Gross, et al. 2009)
  - Lochner & Monge-Naranjo (2015) highlight the value of using a longer horizon & alternative measures

#### Data

- We use new admin. data from Education and Labor Market Longitudinal Platform (ELMLP)
- Canada Student Loan Program (CSLP)
  - contains recipient-level longitudinal information from 2003 to 2015
  - student loan disbursement, repayments, and individual characteristics (demographics, major, institution)
- Postsecondary Student Information System (PSIS)
  - information on students attending Canadian public colleges & universities
  - begins in 2005–2006 for the four Atlantic provinces; 2009–2010 for all others
- Income (tax) records from T1 Family File (T1FF): 1992+. Available for PSIS (and RAIS) records.

# **Measuring Returns**

We define the net rate of return on a loan to borrower i,  $R_i$ , as

$$R_i = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} (1+d)^{-t} P_{i,t} - L_{i,0}}{L_{i,0}}$$

- T: number of years over which repayment takes place
- $\{P_{i,t}\}_{t=1}^T$ : sequence of loan payments
- L<sub>i,0</sub>: loan amount
- d: discount rate used to calculate returns
  - assume d = 2.8% based on 10-year Canadian treasury rate for 2005–2017
- $R_i > 0$  implies a positive net present value return on loan

Main sample restrictions:

- students ages 18–30 who received CSLP loans for full-time undergraduate studies in the 2005 loan year
- upper-year students (in third or fourth year of studies)
- exclude borrowers with sizable unexplained loan inconsistencies
  - focus on 2005 loan year due to greater inconsistencies for earlier years

Final sample contains roughly 39,000 borrowers

#### **Borrower Characteristics**

	Mean		Mean
Gender		Year in study	
Female	0.61	Year 3	0.54
Male	0.39	Year 4	0.46
Borrower type		Student loan amounts	
Dependent	0.53	Disbursed in 2005	\$5,500
Single independent	0.42	Total undergraduate	\$14,800
Married	0.04	-	
Single parent	0.02		
Age	22.5	Prov Inst. Ranking	

#### Figure: Distribution of total amount borrowed



Lochner, Liu & Gervais Return on Student Loans in Canada

#### Figure: Distribution of fields of study



Lochner, Liu & Gervais Return on Student Loans in Canada

### Returns on Student Loans Disbursed in 2005

- Average individual-level rate of return *E*(*R*) is -5.7% across all borrowers
  - average return is 0.8% higher for women than men
- Average loan-weighted return is -6.9%
  - on average, the CSLP lost 6.9 cents for every dollar it lent out to this cohort of students
  - returns tend to be lower for students who borrowed more

# Heterogeneity in Returns

Average Returns by Field of Study



# Heterogeneity in Returns

Average Returns by Institution Ranking



# How Well Can Returns be Predicted?

We use OLS to estimate expected returns conditional on information available to CSLP in 2005:

- total amount borrowed, allow for nonlinearities
- individual characteristics: gender, family/dependency status, age, year in undergraduate study & home province
- field of study indicators
- institutional indicators for all ranked universities (also include unranked universities)
- interactions of de-meaned loan amounts with
  - field of study indicators
  - institution indicators

Our richest specification explains about 12% of variation in individual returns

# Heterogeneity in Predicted Returns by Major



# Heterogeneity in Predicted Returns by Inst. Rank



Controls for Loan Amt., Bkgd. Characteristics, Province & Fields

# **Decomposing Variation in Predicted Returns**

- To what extent do differences across fields of study & institutions explain the estimated total variance in predicted returns?
  - differences across fields explain 22% of the variance
  - differences across institutions explain 9% of the variance

Our results suggest substantial *predictable* losses from some borrowers and *predictable* gains from others.

Expected returns on \$15,000 loan to 21 year-old borrowers

- 3rd year student attending lowest return school in NS majoring in Arts/Sciences: -20%
- 4th year student attending highest return school in Saskatchewan majoring in Health Sciences: 15%

High-return borrower helps cover the losses from the low-return borrower

# **Cream-Skimming**

- Private lenders have strong incentives to cream-skim borrowers with high predicted returns
- The extent to which they can do this has important implications for average returns of remaining CSLP portfolio & viability of the program

	% of Borrowers	Avg. Weighted Return
All borrowers	100%	-6.9%
Exclude predicted returns > 10%	99%	-7.0%
Exclude predicted returns > 5%	93%	-7.7%
Exclude predicted returns > 3%	87%	-8.4%
Exclude predicted returns > 0%	74%	-9.9%

- CSLP lost about 7 cents for every loan dollar disbursed to upper-year undergraduates in 2005.
- Heterogeneity across borrowers enrolled in different fields of study is substantial.
- If private lenders were to poach high-return students, the losses by the CSLP would be exacerbated by 1 to 3 percentage points.

- Explore the extend of insurance provided by the current student loan system (e.g., RAP, default).
  - link to tax file.
- Explore more sophisticated prediction models using 'big data' approaches
- Explore effects of changes to CSLP lending & repayment policies
  - Can we improve the design of student loan programs?

#### **Borrower Characteristics**

#### Figure: Distribution of fields of provinces





#### **Borrower Characteristics**



#### Figure: Distribution of fields of institution ranks

